

8-19-2010

AY 10-11 Meeting 2010-08-19

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: http://opus.govst.edu/facultysenate_minutes

Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "AY 10-11 Meeting 2010-08-19" (2010). *Faculty Senate Minutes*. Paper 89.
http://opus.govst.edu/facultysenate_minutes/89

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at OPUS Open Portal to University Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of OPUS Open Portal to University Scholarship. For more information, please contact opus@govst.edu.

**Governors State University
Faculty Senate
Minutes August 19, 2010**

Senators (Present = X):

<u> X </u> E. Alozie	<u> X </u> S. Gandy	<u> </u> B. Parin
<u> </u> P. Blobaum	<u> </u> D. Green	<u> X </u> W. Rudloff
<u> </u> M. Bruce	<u> X </u> H. Heino	<u> </u> A. Sanders
<u> X </u> M. Carrington	<u> </u> R. James	<u> </u> A. Shekib
<u> </u> D. Cepa	<u> </u> T. Kelly	<u> </u> C. Tymkow
<u> </u> D. Cortese	<u> </u> J. Klomes	<u> X </u> B. Winicki
<u> X </u> B. Essex	<u> X </u> R. Muhammad	

Guests: John Stoll, Sondra Estep, Maribeth Kasik, Marsha Katz

1. Call to Order and Welcome to Visitors

Heino called the meeting to order at 1:11 pm and welcomed the visitors.

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes

As number of senators present failed to reach a quorum, approval of May, June and July minutes was tabled until the next meeting.

3. Administration Updates and Reports

Stoll, representing President Maimon and Provost Allison, gave the administrative report. He reported that GSU recently received \$2.3 million from the National Science Foundation to renovate Biology research laboratories.

He also reported that Provost Allison met with the co-chairs of the Faculty Development Subcommittee to discuss creation of a Faculty Development Center, or a Center of Teaching and Learning. An on-campus search will be conducted for a half time faculty position to run the center. The source of funding will be indirect costs from grants.

Stoll reported that ITS is finishing a pilot study of on-line SEIs this summer. They have found that on-line SEIs have resulted in an increased response rate from students taking off-campus courses. Response rate has increased from 3% for mail-in SEIs to approximately 50% for on-line SEIs. Senators and guests then discussed on-line SEIs. A senator asked if faculty have the option of students filling out an SEI on-line in a face-to-face class. Stoll replied that making such an option workable would be difficult. Several senators discussed the possibility of tailoring SEIs to on-line classes, or to specific instructors. Stoll replied that currently, on-line SEIs are identical to paper SEIs.

ERP Report

Stoll reported that the new ERP system now is fully installed. Next week, implementation of software to run the portal will be started. Then various modules of the software will be implemented over the next 15 months. The Human Resources module will be running by January 1. Last week core ERP training occurred. A guest asked when faculty will be trained. Stoll replied that faculty training will probably begin next Spring term. He said that students will register for the Fall 2011 term using the new system.

4. Faculty Reports

Educational Policies Committee (EPC)

Essex reported that EPC will be meeting on the second and fourth Thursdays from 11:15 to 12:45.

IBHE Faculty Advisory Committee (IBHE FAC)

No report.

University Curriculum Committee (UCC)

No report.

Academic Program Review Committee (APRC)

Gandy reported that the Provost's office has received APRC's annual review of all programs.

Graduate Studies Council

Winicki reported that the next meeting of the Graduate Studies Council is September 2.

Bargaining Unit

Katz reported that Rakstang sent an email requesting that GSU personnel not post signs, flyers or notices on walls or doors. Senators discussed whether this request and the upcoming related protocol being developed by FDM is an issue for faculty. Some senators said that it is sometimes necessary for them to post notices to students, and some senators were concerned that limiting posting may infringe on rights of free speech.

Executive Committee

- Steps for initiating changes in programs and/or courses: Heino reported that Mayfield has put together a list of steps for initiating changes in programs and courses that should reflect current procedures. Heino said that Mayfield's document of "steps" should be reviewed and approved by the Senate standing committees, and then be approved by the full Senate. Mayfield also has advised that initiators of new programs and courses contact her office before starting any procedure. Senators agreed that initially contacting Mayfield is a good practice.

-CUE Guidelines-discussion and recommendation to the faculty representatives: CUE Guideline Committee members distributed a recent memo (dated August 11) from the committee entitled "CUE Guidelines: Concerns and Goals (appended to these minutes)." Senators then discussed the activities of the CUE Guidelines Committee. Union representation will be present at future meetings. Estep reported that the committee has lost several members. Winicki said that administrators on the committee are Woodard, Samson and Bordelon. It still is not clear what portion of CUE guidelines is open to negotiation, according to the new contract. Senators and CUE Guidelines Committee members then began discussing the memo, point by point. On the first point, "CUES for doctoral program teaching," consensus among senators was for no CUE differential between masters and doctoral teaching CUEs. Senators then discussed the second point, "Program coordinators," but did not reach a consensus on program coordinator CUEs. Administrators have begun assigning three CUEs/term across the university. Members of the committee feel that all programs are not equal based on number of students, size and number of curricula, etc. Winicki and Estep asked for feedback from faculty on this issue, as well as on other points in the memo. The next meeting of the committee will be next Thursday from 2:00 – 4:00.

5. Faculty Grant Development Issues

Estep reported on recent developments related to a grant for which she served as director. The grant, originally entitled “Adventure of the American Mind,” was an earmark from Senator Durbin. After three years, Estep was invited to submit a proposal to the Library of Congress to continue the funding. GSU received the funding, so the original earmark became a grant from the Library of Congress. Last September, Estep became half time director for the grant, and brought in a very good assistant director. This year, Estep requested that she be made full time director for the grant. Her request was denied, and she was offered four CUEs for administration of the grant. The former assistant director of the grant eventually was put in charge of administering the grant. The university’s position is that the grant is to GSU, and not to an individual faculty member. Estep cautioned faculty that grant funding that faculty may obtain does not necessarily go to the faculty member(s). In addition, little support from the university exists to help faculty apply for and administer grants.

6. New Business

No new business.

7. Adjournment

The meeting ended at 3:02 pm.

fac-sen-083110
corrected 091610